Page 2 of 3

Reply to Restriction Requirement dated November 1, 2006

REMARKS

Applicants thank the Examiner for the thorough consideration given the present application. Claims 1-20 are currently being prosecuted. The Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider his restriction requirement in view of the amendments and remarks as set forth hereinbelow.

ELECTION OF CLAIMS

The Examiner has set forth a restriction requirement with regard to claims 1-20. The grouping of the claims is set forth as follows:

GROUP	CLAIMS
I	1, 2, 9, and 10
П	3-8 and 16-20
III	11-13
IV	14
V	15

In order to be responsive to the Examiner's restriction requirement, the Applicants have elected claims 3-8 and 16-20 for initial examination. However, it is respectfully submitted that the restriction requirement is improper in view of the fact that no serious burden is presented to the Examiner to consider all of the claims in a single application.

As set forth in Section 803 of the MPEP, the Examiner must examine an application on the merits if the examination of the entire application can be made without serious burden.

Two criteria are identified for proper requirement for restriction:

Application No: 10/551,154 Attorney Docket No. 0020-5309PUS1 Art Unit: 1638

Reply dated December 1, 2006 Reply to Restriction Requirement dated November 1, 2006

Page 3 of 3

1. The inventions must be independent or distinct as claimed; and

2. There must be a serious burden on the Examiner if the restriction is not required.

Applicants respectfully submit that a serious burden has not been placed on the

Examiner to consider all of the claims in a single application. In order to be responsive to

the Examiner's restriction requirement, claims 3-8 and 16-20 have been initially elected. The

Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider his restriction requirement and act on all of the

claims in the present application. If the Examiner does persist in his restriction requirement,

Applicants reserve the right to file a divisional application directed to claims 1, 2 and 9-15 at a

later date if they so desire. Favorable action on the present application is earnestly solicited.

If the Examiner believes, for any reason, that personal communication will expedite

prosecution of this application, he is invited to telephone Carl T. Thomsen (Reg. No. 50,786)

at (703) 208-4030 (Direct Line).

Please charge any fees or credit any overpayment pursuant to 37 CFR 1.16 or 1.17 to

Deposit Account No. 02-2448.

Respectfully submitted,

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

James M. Slattery

Reg. No. 28,380

#43368

P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, VA 22040-0747

(703) 205-8000

JMS/CTT/ktp